Evaluating the Potential of a Unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba Language to Ease Language Related Social and Political Conflicts in Nigeria

Olusegun Oladele Jegede
Lead City University, Nigeria

Abstract

Nigeria, a multilingual nation with over 500 languages, faces significant challenges due to linguistic diversity, which often exacerbates social, economic, and political tensions. Existing literature has extensively examined the role of language in national identity and integration, but there is a notable research gap regarding the potential impact of creating a unified national language by

Olusegun Oladele Jegede
Research Fellow, Department of Languages and Literature, Lead City University, Nigeria
Email: jegede.olusegun@lcu.edu.ng

amalgamating Hausa, Igbo, and Yoruba. This study aims to explore the feasibility and implications of such an initiative for promoting national unity and cohesion in Nigeria. In this study, a mixed-methods approach was employed, involving both quantitative and qualitative data collection to provide a comprehensive understanding of the potential impact of a unified national language in Nigeria. A total of 500 respondents were selected, including language experts, educators, and community leaders, using purposive sampling to ensure that participants possessed relevant knowledge and experience in linguistic and socio-political matters related to national integration and identity. The findings reveal that while there are substantial cultural and practical challenges in harmonizing the three languages, the potential benefits in terms of enhanced national identity, social cohesion, and improved governance are significant. The study concludes that a unified language could serve as a powerful unifying tool if implemented with careful planning, inclusive dialogue, and cultural sensitivity. It recommends further research into the linguistic and socio-political aspects of language unification and the development of comprehensive language policies.

Keywords: Nigeria, multilingualism, language unification, Hausa, Igbo, Yoruba, national unity

1. Introduction

Nigeria, a nation renowned for its rich cultural and linguistic diversity, is home to over 500 languages spoken across its vast geographic expanse. This diversity, while culturally enriching, presents significant challenges in fostering national unity and efficient governance. Language barriers have been identified as a key factor contributing to social fragmentation and interethnic tensions (Mazrui 1997). In the educational sector, these barriers result in uneven access to quality education and varied educational outcomes among different linguistic groups (Brann 1977). Effective communication is a cornerstone

of governance, yet Nigeria's linguistic diversity complicates the implementation of policies and the administration of public services (Blommaert 2008). Economic interactions are also hindered by language differences, affecting trade, labor mobility, and Therefore economic integration (Laitin 1992). Despite these challenges, there has been ongoing debate about the potential benefits of adopting a unified national language to bridge these divides. A unified language could potentially streamline communication, enhance mutual understanding, and promote a shared national identity among Nigeria's diverse ethnic groups (Prah 2009). However, the success of such an initiative hinges on addressing cultural sensitivities and ensuring broad-based support from all communities involved (Bamgbose 2003). Although Nigerian Pidgin is widely spoken and understood across various ethnic groups, it is often perceived as informal and lacks the prestige associated with being a national language. On the other hand, British English, despite being the official language, is seen as a colonial legacy and is not accessible to the majority who are not proficient in it. Neither Pidgin nor British English carries the deep cultural significance and indigenous identity needed to foster genuine unity and national cohesion. This study seeks to explore the implications of adopting a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language by examining its potential impacts on social cohesion, economic development, and governance in Nigeria. By investigating perceptions and attitudes towards this proposed language policy, this research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the opportunities and challenges associated with linguistic unification in a multiethnic context.

Nigeria's linguistic diversity, while a cultural asset, poses significant challenges in terms of national cohesion, education, governance, and socio-economic development. Scholars have extensively studied the implications of linguistic diversity and the potential benefits of

adopting a unified national language (Park 2021, 2022, 2023; Chin 2023; Ọláńrewájú 2024). For instance, research has shown that language barriers contribute to social fragmentation and impede interethnic communication (Adegbija 1994, Bamgbose 2011). In the educational sector, language differences often result in disparities in access to quality education and educational outcomes (Bamgboṣe 2000, Igboanusi 2008). In governance, linguistic diversity complicates policy implementation and public administration, creating inefficiencies and exacerbating regional disparities (Fafunwa 1974, Omoniyi 2003). Additionally, economic studies have highlighted how language barriers hinder trade and labor mobility, thus affecting economic integration and growth (Eze 2014, Obasi 2016). Babalola (2021) explores the morphological features of Nigerian Pidgin in football commentary, providing insights into the language's role in communication within a multilingual context, and further highlights the complexities and variations within Nigerian languages that could impact the implementation and acceptance of a unified language. Eleshin (2021) examines the typology of headedness in Yorùbá nominal derivations, offering insights into the structural characteristics that could inform the development of a unified language framework. Ọláńrewájú (2024) analyzes the typology of constituent focus in a West African language through a minimalist lens, contributing to the understanding of language structures relevant to the development of a unified language system.

Despite these significant contributions, there remains a gap in the comprehensive understanding of the socio-political, economic, and cultural implications of adopting a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language. While existing research has explored individual aspects of language policy, there is a need for a holistic examination that integrates these diverse dimensions. This study seeks to fill this gap by providing a

multi-faceted analysis of the potential adoption of a unified language in Nigeria. It aims to explore how such a language policy could enhance social cohesion, streamline educational processes, improve governance efficiency, and boost economic activities. Moreover, this study will examine the practical challenges and resistance that may arise from ethnic communities, addressing the cultural and identity concerns that are often overlooked in language policy discussions. By doing so, it seeks to offer a comprehensive framework that policymakers, educators, and community leaders can use to explore the difficulties of language adoption in a multiethnic society. This holistic approach will contribute to a deeper understanding of the potential benefits and challenges of a unified language policy, offering actionable insights for promoting national unity and socio-economic development in Nigeria.

This study is guided by several key objectives aimed at exploring the implications of adopting a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in Nigeria. First, it investigates how the development and implementation of such a language would impact social cohesion and mutual understanding among the country's diverse ethnic groups. It also examines the potential challenges and barriers to the adoption of a unified language among the Hausa, Igbo, and Yoruba communities, considering their cultural and linguistic differences. Additionally, the study seeks to determine the extent to which a unified language could contribute to reducing political tensions and fostering national unity. Further, it explores the socio-economic implications of adopting a unified language for education, governance, and daily communication. Lastly, the study considers how the integration of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language could be effectively promoted and supported by both governmental and non-governmental organizations (NGO) to ensure widespread acceptance and usage.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Language and Social-Political Conflicts in Nigeria

The multiplicity of languages reflects the country's complex ethnic composition and history, but it also exacerbates social divisions and political tensions. Language plays a critical role in shaping group identity and can both unite and divide populations (Babalola 2021). In Nigeria, the interplay between language and ethnic identity often leads to conflicts that are deeply rooted in historical, socio-economic, and political contexts. One of the primary ways language contributes to social and political conflicts in Nigeria is through its association with ethnic identity. Ethnic groups in Nigeria are often defined and differentiated by their languages. This strong linkage means that language becomes a marker of ethnic identity, fostering a sense of belonging among group members while simultaneously creating boundaries between different groups (Blench 2012). The three major ethnic groups in Nigeria—the Hausa-Fulani in the north, the Yoruba in the southwest, and the Igbo in the southeast—each have their own languages, which reinforces regional identities and, at times, regional rivalries (Oyetade 2001).

Historically, colonial and post-colonial policies have contributed to these language-based divisions. During the colonial era, the British administration promoted the use of English as the official language, marginalizing indigenous languages and creating a linguistic hierarchy that privileged those who spoke English (Afolayan 1984: 2). This policy not only reinforced social stratification but also laid the groundwork for post-independence language politics. In post-colonial Nigeria, English remains the official language and the medium of instruction in schools, while indigenous languages are often relegated

to the private sphere or local use. This situation perpetuates inequalities, as proficiency in English is often linked to socio-economic advancement and access to government services (Bamgboṣe 2000).

The marginalization of indigenous languages can lead to feelings of disenfranchisement and cultural erosion among ethnic groups, fueling social unrest. For instance, the perceived dominance of English and the associated Western culture can provoke resistance from groups seeking to preserve their linguistic heritage and cultural identity (Igboanusi & Peter 2005). This dynamic can exacerbate ethnic tensions, particularly when language policies are perceived as favoring one group over another. In regions where linguistic and ethnic identities overlap with political power and economic resources, such as in the Niger Delta, language-based grievances can contribute to broader conflicts (Obi 2001).

Furthermore, language barriers can impede effective governance and political participation. In a multilingual country like Nigeria, the use of a single official language (English) can exclude large segments of the population who are not proficient in it. This exclusion undermines democratic processes by limiting the ability of citizens to engage with government institutions, access information, and participate in public discourse (Adegbija 1994). For instance, electoral materials and political campaigns conducted primarily in English may not reach or resonate with non-English-speaking populations, leading to disenfranchisement and political apathy (Ebirim 2014).

In addition to social and political exclusion, language differences can complicate conflict resolution efforts. Effective communication is crucial in conflict mediation and peacebuilding, but linguistic diversity can create barriers to mutual understanding and dialogue (Ojo 2016). In regions with high linguistic diversity, such as the Middle Belt, conflicts often involve multiple ethnic groups with

different languages, making mediation more challenging. In such contexts, language not only reflects but also reinforces ethnic divisions, complicating efforts to foster reconciliation and unity (Egwu 2001). Addressing the language-related dimensions of social and political conflicts in Nigeria requires comprehensive language policies that promote multilingualism and linguistic inclusion. Scholars argue that recognizing and supporting indigenous languages in official and educational domains can help mitigate ethnic tensions and promote social cohesion (Prah 2009). For example, implementing mother tongue education alongside English can enhance learning outcomes and foster a sense of cultural pride among students (Fafunwa 1974). Additionally, promoting the use of indigenous languages in government and public life can improve accessibility and participation, strengthening democratic governance and national unity (Brann 1979: 380).

2.2. Nigeria as a Multilingual Nation

Nigeria, a nation characterized by its immense linguistic diversity, is home to over 500 languages spoken across its vast territories. This multilingualism is a direct reflection of Nigeria's complex ethnic composition, historical migrations, and sociocultural evolution. The three major languages—Hausa, Yoruba, and Igbo—are predominant, each corresponding to the largest ethnic groups and regions. Hausa is widely spoken in the north, Yoruba in the southwest, and Igbo in the southeast (Blench 2012). These languages serve as regional lingua francas, facilitating communication within and across ethnic groups, and play significant roles in cultural identity and social cohesion. In addition to these major languages, Nigeria boasts a plethora of minority languages, each representing distinct ethnic communities. Languages such as Kanuri, Tiv, Ibibio, and Edo are integral to the

cultural identity and daily life of their speakers. However, the dominance of English, which remains the official language and medium of instruction due to its colonial legacy, often overshadows these indigenous languages (Ogu 1992). English functions as the primary language for government, education, and business, creating a linguistic hierarchy that can marginalize speakers of indigenous languages and perpetuate social inequalities (Adegbija 1994).

The intricate relationship between language and ethnic identity in Nigeria has significant implications for national unity and development. On one hand, linguistic diversity is a testament to Nigeria's rich cultural heritage and has the potential to promote social inclusion and intercultural dialogue. However, it also poses challenges for effective governance and equitable socio-economic development. Language barriers can exacerbate social fragmentation and ethnic tensions, particularly when language policies are perceived as favoring certain groups over others (Bamgboṣe 2000). For instance, the prioritization of English and the major regional languages in official domains can lead to the marginalization of minority language speakers, fostering feelings of disenfranchisement and cultural erosion (Bamgboṣe 1991). Education is one area where the impact of Nigeria's multilingualism is particularly pronounced. The use of English as the medium of instruction in schools can disadvantage students who speak indigenous languages at home, contributing to disparities in educational outcomes (Fafunwa 1974). Research has shown that mother tongue education, where children are taught in their native languages during the early years of schooling, can improve learning outcomes and foster a sense of cultural pride (Adegbija 1994). However, implementing such policies requires substantial investment in educational resources, teacher training, and curriculum development, which are often lacking.

In the area of governance, language differences can impede

effective communication and policy implementation. Multilingualism complicates the delivery of public services and the dissemination of information, as materials must be translated into multiple languages to reach all citizens (Ogunbiyi 2010). This challenge is particularly evident during national elections, where electoral materials and political campaigns conducted primarily in English may not resonate with non-English-speaking populations, leading to political apathy and disenfranchisement (Ebirim 2014). Despite these challenges, there are opportunities to harness Nigeria's linguistic diversity for national development. Inclusive language policies that recognize and support all languages can help mitigate ethnic tensions and promote social cohesion. Promoting the use of indigenous languages in education, governance, and media can enhance participation and accessibility, strengthening democratic processes and fostering a sense of national identity (Brann 1977). Additionally, technological advancements offer new avenues for the preservation and revitalization of minority languages. Language documentation projects, online language courses, and digital content in indigenous languages can safeguard linguistic diversity and promote language learning among younger generations (Simons & Fennig 2017).

2.3. Language as a Unifying Tool in Nigeria

Language has always played a crucial role in shaping identities and fostering unity within nations. In a multilingual and multi-ethnic country like Nigeria, the potential of language as a unifying tool is significant yet complex. Nigeria, with over 500 languages spoken across its diverse regions, faces the challenge of linguistic fragmentation which often mirrors and exacerbates social, economic, and political divisions. Amidst this diversity, the idea of amalgamating the three major languages—Hausa, Igbo, and Yoruba—into a unified national

language presents an intriguing proposition for promoting national unity and cohesion. The three major languages of Nigeria, Hausa, Igbo, and Yoruba, are spoken by the largest ethnic groups in the country and serve as regional lingua francas. Hausa is predominant in the northern states, Yoruba in the southwestern states, and Igbo in the southeastern states (Blench 2012). Each of these languages carries significant cultural and historical weight, reflecting the rich heritage and identity of their respective ethnic groups. However, the linguistic divides also contribute to regionalism and ethnic tensions, which can impede national integration and development. The unification effort would require careful consideration of these differences or factors to create a language that respects and incorporates elements from all three, ensuring it is embraced by all communities. Therefore, the role of language in this process is not only to provide a common means of communication but also to symbolize a collective identity that transcends ethnic and cultural boundaries while acknowledging diversity. This would necessitate a comprehensive approach involving linguistic, cultural, and social negotiations to ensure that the unified language genuinely reflects the nation's plurality and fosters unity.

The unification of Hausa, Igbo, and Yoruba into a single national language could potentially address these divisions by creating a common linguistic ground for all Nigerians. Such an amalgamated language could serve as a symbol of national identity, fostering a sense of belonging and unity among the diverse ethnic groups. This idea is premised on the belief that a shared language can bridge communication gaps, reduce ethnic rivalries, and promote mutual understanding and cooperation (Prah 2009). Implementing a unified national language involves several practical and cultural considerations. Firstly, the linguistic features of Hausa, Igbo, and Yoruba would need to be harmonized to create a new, cohesive language. This process

would require extensive linguistic research, standardization efforts, and the development of educational resources and materials in the new language (Bamgboṣe 2000). The creation of a unified language would also necessitate widespread public education and acceptance, as the language would need to be taught in schools, used in media, and adopted in government and business communications.

Cultural sensitivity is another critical factor in the success of this initiative. The languages and their associated cultures are deeply embedded in the identities of the ethnic groups. Any attempt to amalgamate these languages must respect and preserve the cultural heritage they represent. The process should be inclusive, involving stakeholders from all ethnic groups to ensure that the new language reflects a balanced integration of linguistic and cultural elements (Bamgboṣe 1991). This inclusive approach would help in garnering broad-based support and minimizing resistance to the change. The potential benefits of a unified national language are manifold. Firstly, it could enhance national unity by providing a common platform for communication and interaction among Nigerians from different ethnic backgrounds. This could foster a sense of shared identity and purpose, reducing ethnic tensions and promoting social cohesion. Secondly, a unified language could improve access to education and information, as educational materials and public communications would be standardized, making them more accessible to all citizens regardless of their linguistic background (Adegbija 1994). This could help bridge educational disparities and promote equitable socio-economic development.

Moreover, a unified language could streamline governance and public administration by facilitating clearer and more effective communication between the government and the populace. This would enhance the delivery of public services and the implementation

of policies, contributing to better governance and accountability (Bamgboṣe 2000). In the economic sphere, a common language could improve trade and business interactions, fostering a more integrated and dynamic national economy. However, the challenges in achieving a unified language should not be underestimated. The process of linguistic amalgamation is complex and would require significant investment in terms of time, resources, and political will. Additionally, the entrenched use of English as the official language and its role in international communication and commerce would need to be carefully balanced with the promotion of the new national language.

3. Methodology

This study employed a cross-sectional research design to investigate the potential implications of adopting a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in Nigeria. The data source for this study was primary data collected through the administration of a structured questionnaire to a sample of respondents. The sample consisted of 500 individuals selected using a combination of convenience and purposive sampling techniques. Convenience sampling was used to select respondents based on their accessibility and willingness to participate, while purposive sampling was employed to ensure representation across different demographic characteristics, including age, gender, ethnicity, and geographical location. The research instrument utilized in this study was a questionnaire developed specifically for this research, consisting of closed-ended questions designed to elicit respondents' perceptions, attitudes, and beliefs regarding the adoption of a unified language. Data collection was conducted through online surveys and face-to-face interviews, depending on the accessibility of respondents.

Once collected, the data were analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistical techniques, including frequency distributions, percentages, and cross-tabulations. These analyses were used to identify patterns, trends, and relationships within the data, providing insights into the key themes and findings of the study. Additionally, qualitative data from open-ended questions were analyzed thematically to complement the quantitative findings and provide deeper insights into respondents' perspectives. Therefore, the methodology employed in this study allowed for a comprehensive exploration of the potential implications of adopting a unified language in Nigeria, providing valuable insights for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners involved in language policy and planning efforts.

4. Results

The following section presents the findings from the analysis of responses to the questionnaire, which aimed to evaluate the potential impact of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language on reducing social and political conflicts in Nigeria. The study focuses on analyzing key areas across Nigeria's geopolitical zones, particularly the regions where the three major languages—Hausa, Igbo, and Yoruba—are predominantly spoken. These areas include the North-West and North-East zones for Hausa, the South-East zone for Igbo, and the South-West zone for Yoruba. Additionally, the study considers the central regions, where linguistic diversity is high, and interactions between different ethnic groups are frequent, to assess the broader implications of adopting a unified language in a multilingual and multi-ethnic context. The data were collected from a sample of 500 respondents, and the analysis focuses on their perceptions regarding

the effectiveness of a unified language in improving social interactions, reducing misunderstandings, fostering national unity, and enhancing political representation and participation. The results provide valuable insights into the perceived challenges and support for such an initiative, highlighting areas where strategic efforts can be directed to maximize its positive impact and address potential barriers.

4.1. The Implications of Introducing a Unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba Language in Nigeria

To analyze and interpret the responses to the questionnaire questions, data were collected from a sample of 500 respondents. The results are summarized below and interpreted to understand the implications of introducing a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in Nigeria.

(1)   Question 1: On a scale of 1 to 5, how strongly do you believe that a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language would improve social interactions and mutual understanding among different ethnic groups in Nigeria? (Table 1)

Table 1. Belief in Improved Social Interactions

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
1 (Very weak)	50	10
2 (Weak)	75	15
3 (Neutral)	125	25
4 (Strong)	150	30
5 (Very strong)	100	20

The majority of respondents (50%) believe that a unified language would have a strong (30%) or very strong (20%) impact on improving social interactions. This suggests that many see the potential for a unified language to bridge ethnic divides and enhance mutual understanding. However, 25% of respondents are neutral, indicating they are unsure or indifferent about its impact. Additionally, 25% (10% very weak, 15% weak) are skeptical about the effectiveness of a unified language in this regard. This distribution highlights that while there is significant optimism, there are also notable reservations that need to be addressed through further engagement and education on the benefits of linguistic unity.

(2)   Question 2: Do you think learning and using a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in daily communication would help reduce misunderstandings and conflicts between ethnic groups in Nigeria? (Table 2)

Table 2. Reduction of Misunderstandings and Conflicts

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Yes	300	60
No	100	20
Not sure	100	20

A significant majority (60%) believe that a unified language would help reduce misunderstandings and conflicts, indicating strong support for the potential of a common language to foster harmony and resolve ethnic disputes. However, 20% of respondents do not believe in its efficacy, and another 20% are uncertain. This uncertainty suggests that while the idea is appealing to many, there is still a

substantial portion of the population that may require more convincing evidence or information. This indicates a need for further awareness and dialogue to address concerns and highlight the practical benefits of a unified language in reducing ethnic conflicts.

(3)   Question 3: How likely are you to support the introduction of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in your community or workplace to enhance social cohesion? (Table 3)

Table 3. Support for Introduction of Unified Language

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Very likely	125	25
Likely	150	30
Neutral	100	20
Unlikely	75	15
Very unlikely	50	10

A majority of respondents (55%) are either very likely (25%) or likely (30%) to support the introduction of a unified language in their communities or workplaces. This shows a strong inclination towards adopting a common language to promote social cohesion. However, 20% remain neutral, and a combined 25% (15% unlikely, 10% very unlikely) are resistant to the idea. These figures suggest that while there is considerable support, a significant minority either opposes or is indifferent to the concept. To increase support, it would be essential to address the concerns of these groups through comprehensive awareness campaigns and demonstrating the tangible benefits of a unified language in everyday interactions and community building.

4.1.1. Interpretation

The data indicates a generally positive reception towards the idea of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language, with a substantial portion of the population perceiving potential benefits in terms of improved social interactions and conflict reduction. The fact that 50% of respondents see a strong or very strong impact on social interactions underscores the belief that a common language could bridge ethnic divides and foster mutual understanding. Furthermore, the support for its introduction in communities and workplaces (55% likely or very likely) highlights a readiness among many Nigerians to embrace such an initiative. However, the presence of skepticism and uncertainty among 25% of respondents on the effectiveness of a unified language and 40% who either oppose or are unsure about its implementation indicates that challenges remain. These challenges could stem from cultural attachment to native languages, fear of losing linguistic heritage, or doubts about the practical implementation of such a language policy. To maximize acceptance and effectiveness, targeted awareness campaigns and educational programs are crucial. These initiatives should focus on demonstrating the benefits of a unified language, such as enhanced social cohesion, reduced ethnic conflicts, and improved communication across different ethnic groups. Engaging community leaders, educators, and policymakers in these efforts can help build trust and address the reservations of the skeptical and undecided segments of the population. Thus, while the idea of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language has garnered significant support, there is a need for strategic efforts to address the concerns and uncertainties of the population. By promoting the benefits and fostering inclusive dialogue, Nigeria can move towards greater national unity and cohesion through the adoption of a common language.

4.2. Potential Challenges and Barriers

To analyze and interpret the responses to the questionnaire questions on potential challenges and barriers to adopting a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language, data were collected from a sample of 500 respondents. The results are summarized below:

(4)   Question 4: What do you perceive as the main challenges in learning and adopting a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language? (Select all that apply) (Table 4)

Table 4. Perceived Challenges in Learning and Adopting a Unified Language

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Lack of educational resources	200	40
Cultural resistance	275	55
Difficulty in learning a new language	150	30
Lack of governmental support	100	20
Other	50	10

The most significant perceived challenge is cultural resistance, cited by 55% of respondents. This suggests that deeply entrenched cultural identities and loyalties to native languages may hinder the acceptance of a unified language. The second major barrier is the lack of educational resources, identified by 40% of respondents. This indicates a concern that the infrastructure and materials needed to teach and learn a new language might be inadequate or unavailable. Additionally, 30% of respondents cited the difficulty in learning a new

language as a challenge. This points to the practical difficulties individuals might face in acquiring proficiency in a new language, especially one that amalgamates three distinct linguistic systems. Only 20% see the lack of governmental support as a major barrier, suggesting that while governmental backing is important, the primary concerns lie elsewhere. Finally, 10% mentioned other unspecified challenges, highlighting a range of additional potential obstacles.

(5)   Question 5: On a scale of 1 to 5, how difficult do you think it would be for different ethnic groups to agree on a unified language, considering cultural and linguistic differences? (Table 5)

Table 5. Difficulty of Agreement on a Unified Language

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
1 (Very easy)	25	5
2 (Easy)	50	10
3 (Moderate)	150	30
4 (Difficult)	175	35
5 (Very difficult)	100	20

A majority of respondents (55%) believe it would be difficult (35%) or very difficult (20%) for different ethnic groups to agree on a unified language. This reflects the complex cultural and linguistic context of Nigeria, where each major ethnic group has strong linguistic identities. About 30% think it would be moderately difficult, indicating some level of optimism or neutrality. Only 15% see it as easy (10%) or very easy (5%), underscoring the significant challenge of achieving

consensus across diverse ethnic groups.

(6)   Question 6: What level of support do you believe the government should provide to facilitate the adoption of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language? (Table 6)

Table 6. Government Support for Adoption

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Full support, including funding and educational programs	250	50
Moderate support, such as language classes and resources	175	35
Minimal support, leaving it to communities to manage	50	10
No support, it should not be a government priority	25	5

Half of the respondents (50%) believe the government should provide full support, including funding and educational programs. This indicates a strong recognition of the need for significant state involvement to overcome barriers and ensure successful implementation. Another 35% favor moderate support, suggesting that a substantial portion of respondents see the need for some level of governmental intervention, albeit less extensive. Only 10% think minimal support is sufficient, and 5% believe the government should not prioritize this initiative, reflecting a minority view that either opposes or is skeptical of the necessity of government involvement.

4.2.1. Interpretation

The data reveals significant perceived challenges in adopting a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language, particularly cultural resistance and the lack of educational resources. These barriers highlight the need for comprehensive strategies that address cultural concerns and enhance resource availability. Cultural resistance, cited by 55% of respondents, underscores the deeply entrenched cultural identities that may resist the adoption of a new language perceived as threatening to native linguistic heritage. This challenge is significant because it suggests that any initiative to introduce a unified language must carefully explore cultural sensitivities and work towards building a shared sense of identity that respects existing cultural traditions. The perceived lack of educational resources, cited by 40%, indicates a practical challenge that must be addressed through substantial investment in educational infrastructure, including the development of teaching materials, training for educators, and the establishment of language learning programs. Without adequate resources, the implementation of a unified language is unlikely to succeed. The perceived difficulty in learning a new language (30%) further complicates the picture, suggesting that any new language policy must include robust support mechanisms to help individuals acquire the new language efficiently. This could involve intensive language courses, the use of technology in language learning, and continuous support to ensure proficiency. Despite these challenges, there is substantial support for government involvement. A combined 85% of respondents advocate for either full or moderate government support, indicating a recognition of the state's role in facilitating this transition. This preference for governmental support suggests that respondents believe effective implementation requires structured programs, funding, and policy backing. In a nutshell, while there are notable

challenges to the adoption of a unified language in Nigeria, there is also significant support for government intervention to mitigate these barriers. Efforts should focus on addressing cultural resistance, providing adequate educational resources, and fostering agreement among ethnic groups to realize the potential benefits of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language. By promoting inclusivity and investing in necessary resources, Nigeria can harness the unifying potential of a common language to foster greater national unity and cohesion.

4.3. The Contribution of a Unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba Language to Reducing Political Tensions and Fostering National Unity

To analyze and interpret the responses to the questionnaire questions on the contribution of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language to reducing political tensions and fostering national unity, data were collected from a sample of 500 respondents. The results are summarized below and provide insights into the potential impact of such a linguistic initiative on Nigeria's socio-political context.

(7)   Question 7: In your opinion, how effective would a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language be in reducing political tensions among different ethnic groups in Nigeria? (Table 7)

Table 7. Effectiveness in Reducing Political Tensions

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Very effective	150	30
Effective	175	35
Neutral	100	20
Ineffective	50	10
Very ineffective	25	5

A significant majority of respondents (65%) believe that a unified language would be either very effective (30%) or effective (35%) in reducing political tensions. This suggests a strong perception that a common language could serve as a bridge over ethnic divides, facilitating better communication and understanding among Nigeria's diverse ethnic groups. The 20% of respondents who are neutral may represent a segment that is unsure of the practical outcomes of such an initiative, while the 15% who believe it would be ineffective or very ineffective indicate concerns about the feasibility and actual impact of a unified language on political tensions.

(8)   Question 8: To what extent do you believe that a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language could foster a sense of national unity and identity in Nigeria? (Table 8)

Table 8. Fostering National Unity and Identity

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
To a great extent	175	35
To a moderate extent	200	40
To a small extent	75	15
Not at all	25	5
Unsure	25	5

Most respondents (75%) believe that a unified language could foster national unity and identity to a great (35%) or moderate (40%) extent. This highlights the potential for a common language to create a stronger sense of belonging and collective identity among Nigeria's diverse population. A unified language could symbolize a shared national heritage and reduce the emphasis on ethnic differences. However, 15% think it would only have a small impact, and 10% (5% not at all, 5% unsure) express skepticism or uncertainty about its effectiveness in fostering unity. These responses suggest that while many see the benefits, there are doubts about how deeply a language change alone can influence national identity.

(9)   Question 9: What impact do you think a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language would have on the political representation and participation of ethnic minorities in Nigeria? (Table 9)

Table 9. Impact on Political Representation and Participation of Ethnic Minorities

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Significant positive impact	100	20
Some positive impact	200	40
No impact	125	25
Some negative impact	50	10
Significant negative impact	25	5

A combined 60% of respondents believe that a unified language would have a positive impact (20% significant, 40% some) on the political representation and participation of ethnic minorities. This suggests that a common language could enhance inclusivity and participation in the political process by providing a more level playing field for communication and engagement. However, 25% think it would have no impact, and 15% (10% some negative, 5% significant negative) foresee negative effects. These concerns likely stem from fears that smaller ethnic groups could be marginalized or that their unique cultural identities might be diluted in the process.

4.3.1. Interpretation

The data suggests a generally positive outlook on the potential for a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language to reduce political tensions and foster national unity in Nigeria. The majority of respondents (65%) believe the unified language would effectively reduce political tensions, indicating a perception that linguistic commonality could bridge ethnic divides and mitigate conflicts. This belief is supported by the understanding that shared communication can reduce

misunderstandings and promote collaboration across ethnic lines. Similarly, 75% of respondents believe that a unified language could significantly or moderately foster national unity and identity. This reflects the potential of a common language to build a stronger sense of national identity, moving beyond ethnic affiliations to a collective Nigerian identity. Such a shift could contribute to greater social cohesion and a more united national front. Regarding political representation and participation of ethnic minorities, 60% of respondents anticipate a positive impact, suggesting that a unified language could enhance inclusivity and participation in the political process. A common language could reduce barriers to political engagement and ensure that all groups, regardless of size, have a voice in national affairs. However, the 25% who see no impact and the 15% who anticipate negative effects point to concerns that need to be addressed. These include potential marginalization of smaller ethnic groups and the difficulties of implementing a new language policy. In short, while there is substantial support for the idea of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language contributing to political harmony and national unity, it is essential to address the identified concerns and ensure inclusive and well-supported implementation strategies. This approach could help maximize the positive impacts and minimize any potential drawbacks. Efforts should focus on fostering broad-based support, providing adequate educational resources, and creating platforms for dialogue to address cultural and linguistic sensitivities. By doing so, Nigeria can leverage the unifying potential of a common language to build a more cohesive and inclusive nation.

4.4. The Socio-Economic Implications of Adopting a Unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba Language

To analyze and interpret the responses to the three questionnaire

questions on the socio-economic implications of adopting a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language, we collected data from a sample of 500 respondents. The results are summarized below:

(10)   Question 10: How do you think the adoption of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language would impact education in Nigeria? (Table 10)

Table 10. Impact on Education

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Improve access to education for all ethnic groups	175	35
Standardize educational curricula and assessments	125	25
Preserve cultural and linguistic diversity	75	15
Create challenges for minority language preservation	100	20
Other	25	5

The majority of respondents (60%) believe that adopting a unified language would improve access to education for all ethnic groups, potentially reducing disparities in educational opportunities. However, 20% express concerns about the potential challenges it might pose for the preservation of minority languages.

(11)   Question 11: What potential economic benefits do you envision from the widespread use of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language? (Table 11)

Table 11. Economic Benefits

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Facilitate interregional trade and commerce	200	40
Enhance job mobility and marketability	150	30
Streamline government services and bureaucracy	100	20
Boost tourism and cultural exchange	50	10
Other	0	0

The majority of respondents (70%) see potential economic benefits in terms of facilitating interregional trade and commerce, as well as enhancing job mobility and marketability. A smaller percentage (20%) consider streamlining government services and bureaucracy as a potential benefit.

(12)   Question 12: How might the introduction of a unified language impact governance and political processes in Nigeria? (Table 12)

Table 12. Impact on Governance and Political Processes

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Promote transparency and efficiency in government operations	175	35
Facilitate communication and collaboration among diverse ethnic groups	200	40
Preserve regional autonomy and cultural heritage	75	15
Exacerbate power imbalances and marginalization	50	10
Other	0	0

Respondents are divided on the potential impact on governance and political processes. Forty percent believe that a unified language could facilitate communication and collaboration among diverse ethnic groups, potentially promoting inclusivity and cooperation in governance. However, 10% express concerns about exacerbating power imbalances and marginalization.

4.4.1. Interpretation

The data suggests a mixed outlook on the socio-economic implications of adopting a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in Nigeria. While there is optimism regarding the potential benefits, there are also concerns about the challenges and unintended consequences. In terms of education, a significant majority of respondents (60%) believe that a unified language could improve access to education for all ethnic groups, potentially addressing

disparities in educational opportunities. However, there are concerns (20%) about the impact on the preservation of minority languages, indicating a need for policies to mitigate potential negative effects on linguistic diversity. Regarding economic benefits, respondents are most optimistic about the potential to facilitate interregional trade and commerce (40%) and enhance job mobility and marketability (30%). This indicates a recognition of the practical advantages of a common language in facilitating economic activities and increasing labor market mobility. In governance and political processes, opinions are more divided. While 40% see the potential for a unified language to facilitate communication and collaboration among diverse ethnic groups, 10% express concerns about exacerbating power imbalances and marginalization. This highlights the complexity of implementing language policies in a diverse and politically sensitive context. Thus, the data underscores the importance of carefully considering the socio-economic implications of adopting a unified language in Nigeria. Addressing concerns and maximizing potential benefits will require comprehensive policies and strategies that prioritize inclusivity, cultural preservation, and equitable access to opportunities for all ethnic groups.

4.5. Promotion and Support of a Unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba Language in Nigeria

To analyze and interpret the responses to the three questionnaire questions on the promotion and support of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in Nigeria, we collected data from a sample of 500 respondents. The results are summarized below:

(13) Question 13: What measures do you believe would be most effective in promoting the integration of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in Nigeria? (Table 13)

Table 13. Measures for Promoting Integration

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Government-led awareness campaigns	150	30
Inclusion of the unified language in educational curricula	175	35
Community-based language learning initiatives	100	20
Media and cultural programs promoting linguistic unity	50	10
Other	25	5

Respondents are divided on the most effective measures for promoting the integration of a unified language. The highest percentage (35%) believe that including the unified language in educational curricula would be the most effective approach. This is followed closely by government-led awareness campaigns (30%). Other strategies such as community-based initiatives and media programs are also mentioned, albeit with lower frequencies.

(14) Question 14: To what extent do you think non-governmental organizations should be involved in supporting the adoption of a unified language in Nigeria? (Table 14)

Table 14. Involvement of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs)

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
They should take a leading role in advocacy and implementation	100	20
They should provide support and resources, but government leadership is essential	200	40
Their involvement is unnecessary; it should be a government-led initiative	100	20
They should not be involved at all	50	10
Unsure	50	10

Respondents are divided on the extent of NGO involvement in supporting the adoption of a unified language. The largest percentage (40%) believes that NGOs should provide support and resources, but government leadership is essential. Another 20% think that NGOs should take a leading role in advocacy and implementation. However, 30% either believe that NGO involvement is unnecessary or are unsure, while 10% think NGOs should not be involved at all.

(15)   Question 15: What potential challenges do you foresee in the widespread adoption of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language, and how do you think they should be addressed? (Table 15)

Table 15. Foreseen Challenges and Solutions

Response	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Resistance from ethnic communities	175	35
Lack of standardized language resources	125	25
Implementation logistics and costs	100	20
Cultural and identity concerns	75	15
Other	25	5

The most commonly cited potential challenge in the widespread adoption of a unified language is resistance from ethnic communities, mentioned by 35% of respondents. This is followed by concerns about the lack of standardized language resources (25%) and implementation logistics and costs (20%). Cultural and identity concerns are also mentioned by 15% of respondents.

4.5.1. Interpretation

The data reveals a variety of perspectives on the promotion and support of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in Nigeria, as well as the challenges associated with its widespread adoption. In terms of promotion, respondents prioritize measures such as including the unified language in educational curricula and government-led awareness campaigns. This suggests a recognition of the importance of formal education and governmental support in promoting language integration. However, there is also recognition of the role of community-based initiatives and media programs, indicating a need for multi-faceted approaches. Regarding the involvement of NGOs, opinions are

divided. While a significant portion (40%) believes that NGOs should provide support and resources, but government leadership is essential, others advocate for a more active role for NGOs in advocacy and implementation. This indicates a recognition of the potential contributions of NGOs, albeit with differing opinions on the extent of their involvement. In terms of challenges, the most commonly cited concern is resistance from ethnic communities. This highlights the importance of addressing cultural and identity concerns and engaging with communities in the language adoption process. Additionally, concerns about the lack of standardized language resources and implementation logistics and costs underscore the practical challenges associated with language integration efforts. Thus, the data underscores the importance of a comprehensive and inclusive approach to promoting and supporting the adoption of a unified language in Nigeria. This approach should involve governmental leadership, NGO support, community engagement, and addressing the practical challenges associated with language integration. By considering diverse perspectives and addressing potential challenges, policymakers can develop effective strategies to promote linguistic unity and enhance social cohesion in Nigeria.

4.6. Discussion of Findings

The findings from the analysis of the questionnaire responses provide valuable insights into the complex dynamics surrounding the potential adoption of a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in Nigeria. This discussion will delve into the key themes that emerged from the data, including perceptions of the language's impact on social cohesion, economic development, governance, promotion strategies, and anticipated challenges. One of the central themes that emerged from the data is the perceived potential of a unified language

to enhance social cohesion and reduce political tensions in Nigeria. A majority of respondents expressed optimism about the language's ability to improve interethnic communication and foster mutual understanding. This sentiment reflects a recognition of the role that language plays in shaping social interactions and identity formation. By providing a common linguistic framework, a unified language has the potential to bridge divides and promote a sense of shared national identity among Nigeria's diverse ethnic groups. However, concerns about resistance from ethnic communities highlight the importance of addressing cultural and identity considerations in the language adoption process.

Furthermore, respondents identified several potential economic benefits associated with the widespread adoption of a unified language. Foremost among these is the potential to facilitate interregional trade and commerce, as well as enhance job mobility and marketability. These findings underscore the practical advantages of a common language in facilitating economic activities and increasing labor market mobility. Additionally, the perceived potential to streamline government services and bureaucracy highlights the efficiency gains that could result from linguistic standardization. However, concerns about the lack of standardized language resources and implementation logistics suggest that careful planning and resource allocation will be essential to realize these economic benefits.

In terms of governance and political processes, respondents expressed divided opinions about the impact of a unified language. While some believe that it could promote transparency and efficiency in government operations and facilitate communication among diverse ethnic groups, others express concerns about exacerbating power imbalances and marginalization. These findings highlight the complexity of navigating linguistic diversity in the context of governance and

underscore the need for inclusive policies that address the concerns of all stakeholders. Additionally, the role of NGOs in supporting the adoption of a unified language is subject to debate, with differing opinions on the extent of their involvement. This suggests that careful consideration will be needed to balance the roles of governmental and non-governmental actors in language promotion efforts.

In terms of promotion strategies, respondents identified a range of measures that they believe would be effective in promoting the integration of a unified language. These include government-led awareness campaigns, inclusion in educational curricula, community-based language learning initiatives, and media programs promoting linguistic unity. These findings underscore the importance of a multi-faceted approach to language promotion that engages various stakeholders and leverages diverse channels of communication. However, concerns about resistance from ethnic communities highlight the need for culturally sensitive approaches that respect and address the concerns of different groups.

Finally, respondents identified several potential challenges associated with the widespread adoption of a unified language, including resistance from ethnic communities, lack of standardized language resources, implementation logistics and costs, and cultural and identity concerns. These findings underscore the complex socio-cultural and logistical considerations that must be addressed in language adoption efforts. Additionally, they highlight the importance of stakeholder engagement and the need for comprehensive strategies that anticipate and mitigate potential challenges. Therefore, the findings suggest that while a unified language has the potential to promote social cohesion and economic development in Nigeria, its adoption will require careful planning, stakeholder engagement, and culturally sensitive approaches.

5. Conclusion

The findings of this study shed light on the diverse nature of adopting a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in Nigeria, revealing that the challenges facing indigenous languages go beyond preserving cultural diversity or resisting the impact of foreign languages; they are also deeply rooted in societal attitudes. Even if these languages are unified or standardized, there remain individuals who are reluctant or unwilling to speak them, underscoring the complex interplay of cultural identity, acceptance, and language use in the country. While there is optimism about the language's ability to enhance social cohesion, economic development, and governance processes, there are also concerns and challenges that must be addressed. Stakeholders must carefully consider the cultural, political, and logistical implications of language adoption efforts and develop inclusive and culturally sensitive strategies that engage diverse communities. Moreover, collaboration between governmental and non-governmental actors will be essential to explore the difficulties of language promotion and support. Addressing concerns, leveraging potential benefits, and fostering inclusive dialogue provide Nigeria with an opportunity to harness the power of a unified language to promote national unity and socio-economic development. This study contributes to knowledge by providing valuable insights into the potential implications of adopting a unified Hausa-Igbo-Yoruba language in Nigeria. The study identifies key themes related to the language's impact on social cohesion, economic development, governance, promotion strategies, and anticipated challenges through the analysis of questionnaire responses. These findings offer a good understanding of the complex dynamics surrounding language adoption efforts in Nigeria, informing policymakers, researchers, and practitioners about

the opportunities and challenges involved. Additionally, the study highlights the importance of considering cultural, political, and logistical factors in language promotion efforts and emphasizes the need for inclusive and culturally sensitive approaches that engage diverse stakeholders. Therefore, the study adds to the existing body of knowledge on language policy and planning in multiethnic societies, offering valuable insights that can inform future research and policy development in Nigeria and beyond.

References

Adegbija, E. 1994. *Language Attitudes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Sociolinguistic Overview*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.

Afolayan, A. 1984. The English Language in Nigerian Education as an Agent of Proper Multilingual and Multicultural Development. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development* 5.1, 1–22.

Babalola, A. 2021. Morphological Features of Nigerian Pidgin in the Football Commentary. *Journal of Universal Language* 22.1, 27–64. DOI: 10.22425/jul.2021.22.1.27.

Bamgboṣe, A. 1991. *Language and the Nation: The Language Question in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Bamgboṣe, A. 2000. *Language and Exclusion: The Consequences of Language Policies in Africa*. Münster: LIT Verlag Münster.

Bamgbose, A. 2003. *Language Policy in Nigeria: Challenges, Opportunities and the Way Forward.* Ibadan: University of Ibadan.

Bamgbose, A. 2011. African Languages Today: The Challenge of and Prospects for Empowerment under Globalization. In E. Bokamba

et al. (eds.), *Selected Proceedings of the 40th Annual Conference on African Linguistics* 1–14. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Proceedings Project.

Blench, R. 2012. *An Atlas of Nigerian Languages*. Cambridge: Kay Williamson Educational Foundation.

Blommaert, J. 2008. *Grassroots Literacy: Writing, Identity and Voice in Central Africa.* London: Routledge.

Brann, C. 1977. Language Policy in Nigeria: Theory and Practice. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language* 14.1, 17–34.

Brann, C. 1979. Multilingualism in Nigerian Education. In W. Mackey & J. Ornstein (eds.), *Sociolinguistic Studies in Language Contact: Methods and Cases* 379–392. Berlin, NY: De Gruyter Mouton.

Chin, S. 2023. Linguistic Diversity and Justice: The Role of Artificial Languages in Multilingual Societies. *Journal of Universal Language* 24.2, 71–89. DOI: 10.22425/jul.2023.24.2.71.

Ebirim, S. 2014. Language, Politics, and National Development: The Nigerian Situation. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science* 4.7, 116–123.

Egwu, S. 2001. *Ethnic and Religious Violence in Nigeria*. Abuja: African Centre for Democratic Governance (AFRIGOV).

Eleshin, B. 2021. Typology of Headedness in Yorùbá Nominal Derivations. *Journal of Universal Language* 22.1, 91–125. DOI: 10.22425/jul.2021.22.1.91.

Eze, C. 2014. The Economic Impact of Language Barriers in Trade: A Study of Nigeria. *Journal of African Economic Studies* 6.2, 45–60.

Fafunwa, A. 1974. *History of Education in Nigeria*. Crows Nest: George Allen & Unwin.

Igboanusi, H. 2008. Mother Tongue-Based Bilingual Education in

Nigeria: Attitudes, Practices, and Prospects. *Language, Culture and Curriculum* 21, 97–107.

Igboanusi, H. & L. Peter. 2005. *Languages in Competition: The Struggle for Supremacy among Nigeria's Major Languages, English and Pidgin*. Lausanne: Peter Lang.

Laitin, D. 1992. *Language Repertoires and State Construction in Africa*. Cambridge: CUP.

Mazrui, A. 1997. The World Bank, the Language Question and the Future of African Education. *Race & Class* 38.3, 35–48. DOI: 10.1177/030639689703800303.

Obasi, E. 2016. Language Barriers and Economic Integration in Africa: The Nigerian Experience. *African Economic Review* 10.1, 78–92.

Obi, C. 2001. *The Changing Forms of Identity Politics in Nigeria under Economic Adjustment: The Case of the Oil Minorities Movement of the Niger Delta*. Research Report No. 119. Uppsala: Nordic Africa Institute.

Ogu, J. 1992. A Historical Survey of English and the Nigerian Situation. *English Today* 8.1, 3–16.

Ogunbiyi, M. 2010. Language Policy and Local Governance in Nigeria. *Journal of Language and Politics* 9.4, 521–540.

Ojo, E. 2016. The Politics of Conflict over Oil in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria: A Review of the Corporate Social Responsibility Strategies of the Oil Companies. *American International Journal of Social Science* 5.1, 53–66.

Ọláńrewájú, E. 2024. Typology of Constituent Focus in a West African Language: A Minimalist Analysis. *Journal of Universal Language* 25.1, 69–98. DOI: 10.22425/jul.2024.25.1.69.

Omoniyi, T. 2003. Language Planning and Policy: Issues in Language Planning and Literacy. In S. Makoni (ed.), *Language and*

Institutional Identity in Africa 42–56. London: Routledge.

Oyetade, S. 2001. Attitude to Foreign Languages and Indigenous Language Use in Nigeria. In H. Igboanusi (ed.), *Language Attitude and Language Conflict in West Africa* 15–29. Ibadan: Enicrownfit.

Park, S. 2021. The Necessity of Minimal Representation of Genericity in a Newly Developed Language, Unish. *Journal of Universal Language* 22.2, 87–104. DOI: 10.22425/jul.2021.22.2.87.

Park, S. 2022. Typological Analysis of Articles in World Languages. *Journal of Universal Language* 23.1, 109–127. DOI: 10.22425/jul.2022.23.1.109.

Park, S. 2023. Multilingualism, Social Inequality, and the Need for a Universal Language. *Journal of Universal Language* 24.1, 77–93. DOI: 10.22425/jul.2023.24.1.77.

Prah, K. 2009. Mother Tongue Education in Africa: Panacea or Pandemonium? *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development* 30.4, 297–308.

Simons, G. & C. Fennig. 2017. *Ethnologue: Languages of the World*. Dallas, TX: SIL International.